

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STA	TES OF AMERICA,)
Bryant Jacobs,	Plaintiff,) No. 2:05-cr-125 MCE
	v. Defendant.) DETENTION ORDER (Violation of Pretrial Release, Probation or Supervised Release)
	finds: there is probable cause to state or local crime while on presumption that his release there is clear and convinct another condition of release based on the factor or combination of co will not flee or pose a community or the person is unlike	believe the person has committed a federal, release and defendant has not rebutted the will endanger another or the community or ing evidence that defendant has violated and rs set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) there is no condition nditions of release that will assure that the defendant a danger to the safety of another person or the ely to abide by any condition or combination of F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(D), 46(c), 18 U.S.C. § 3148.
	After a hearing pursuant to F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(6) and 46(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (violation of probation or supervised release) the court finds there is probable cause to believe defendant has violated a condition of probation or supervised release and defendant has not met his burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he will not flee or pose a danger to another person or to the community. 18 U.S.C. § 3143.	
custody of the	Attorney General for confinement in	8. § 3142(i)(2)-(4) defendant is committed to the a corrections facility separate, to the extent nces or being held in custody pending appeal. The

defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with his counsel. Upon further order of a court of the United States or request of an attorney for the United States the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver defendant to a United States Marshal

DATED: June 6. 2007

for purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE